ASSESSMENT OF NEGOTIATIONS – TEMPLATE QUESTIONNAIRE CORE DATA

Name of Table

Nature of Negotiations

Location of File (province or territory) NOTE – If the file is a transboundary claim, please indicate all provinces and territories

Name of Aboriginal Communities

Total Population of Claimant Group

Chief Federal Negotiator

Aboriginal Party Negotiator

Territorial/Provincial Party Negotiator

Stage of Negotiations

Level of Progress (as per 2011-2012 Annual Table Review)

Total of Negotiation Funding (up to 2011-2012)

Title of MC(s) granting mandate for negotiation & RD number(s)

Date of Cabinet Ratification

Expiration date of mandate

Milestones Achieved & Date

ANALYSIS OF NEGOTIATIONS - CORE PRINCIPLES

1. CERTAINTY

Treaties must provide finality and certainty with respect to an Aboriginal group's claimed Aboriginal rights, as well as clarity with respect to Aboriginal, federal and provincial/territorial jurisdictions and responsibilities. A treaty must provide a comprehensive picture, comprised of: the *certainty technique*, the exhaustive articulation of the s. 35 rights relating to matters addressed in the agreement, the full and final settlement of any obligations that may be related to pre-existing Aboriginal rights, and provisions to manage legal risks in the event that the *certainty technique* adopted is interpreted by the courts in a manner not intended by the parties. An agreement can be considered to have achieved certainty when it provides a legally effective full and final settlement of past obligations and a clear and predictable road map for the future exercise of s. 35 rights and fulfillment of related roles and responsibilities, while minimizing the risks of unintended judicial interpretations.

The *certainty technique* means the legal model used in a treaty to ensure that any pre-existing Aboriginal rights related to the subject matters addresses in the treaty, such as lands and resources, do not continue, from the effective date forward, to have independent legal effect outside of the terms of the treaty.

- Has Canada's position on certainty been presented to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process?

Yes No

- If yes, what are the parties' positions?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

Please explain:

- Please specify what has been presented to the parties and when

WHAT WHEN

Basic Policy Position Legal Techniques Releases for past infringements Jurisdictional Clarity Other (describe)

 If certainty had not been discussed previously, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement process?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

Please explain:

- Was this identified as an impediment to resolution?

Yes

No

Please explain:

2. LAND

Land that will be involved in any treaty or self-government agreement must be clearly identified, and the Aboriginal group's rights and responsibilities with respect to the land must be clearly set out in the agreement.

Land selection of federal Crown land for comprehensive land claim agreements north of the $60^{\rm th}$ parallel must be done in accordance with Canada's land selection guidelines.

Third party interests on Aboriginal land must be respected.

2.1 - LAND OFFER

Has a land offer been made to the Aboriginal group?

Yes

No

- If yes, has the offer been accepted by the Aboriginal group?

Yes

No

Please Explain:

If an offer has not been made, when is it anticipated to be made?

Approximate Date:

2.2 - 91(24) STATUS

Lands held in fee simple by the Aboriginal group post effective date of the treaty will not be reserve lands, as per section 91(24) of the *Constitution Act, 1867* or the *Indian Act.*

- Has Canada's position with respect to lands retaining 91(24) status been presented to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process?

Yes

No

- If yes, what is the Aboriginal group(s)'s position?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

Please Explain:

- If 91(24) status was not discussed previously, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement process?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

ow	Was this identifie	d as an impediment to resolution?
	Yes	No
	Please explain:	
2.3 -	OVERLAP	
over	nmon impediment t lapping interests wit e overlapping interes	to conclusion of treaties is that the Aboriginal group has th another Aboriginal group and groups cannot resolve sts
144	Does/do the Abor	iginal group(s) have any unresolved overlapping claims?
	Yes	No
	What is the likelih	ood of achieving a resolution of the overlapping claims?
	Very Unlikely Not Likely Somewhat Likely Likely Very Likely	
	Please Explain:	
~	What is the propos	sed timeline (in years)
	1-2 2-4 4-6	
*	Was overlap an iter impediment to reso	m identified during the engagement process as an olution of this claim?
	Yes	No
	Please Explain:	
2.4 - 1	AND SELECTION	

In a treaty, the Aboriginal group will select land and will hold that land in fee simple ownership

Has the federal and/or provincial position regarding land selection been presented to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process?

•	n yes, what is the	Aboriginal group(s)'s position?	
	Reject		
	Dislike		
	Somewhat Suppor	·t	
	Support	•	
	Strongly Support		
	Unknown		
	Please Explain:		
~~	If land selection w	as not discussed previously, how was it received by the	
	Aboriginal group(s	s) during the engagement process?	
	Reject		
	Dislike		
	Somewhat Suppor	t	
	Support		
	Strongly Support		
	Unknown		
	Please Explain:		
~	Was this identified	as an impediment to resolution?	
	Yes	No	
	Please Explain:		
2.5 -	THIRD PARTY INTE	RESTS	
Third	party interests on Al	ooriginal-owned lands must be respected	
-	Has Canada's position parties	on on the third party interests been presented to the prior to the engagement process?	
	Yes	No	
~	If yes, what is the Ab	poriginal group(s)'s position?	
	Reject		
	Dislike		
	Somewhat Support		
	• •		

Yes No

Support Strongly Support Unknown Please Explain: If Canada's position on the third party interests was not discussed previously, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement process? Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown Please Explain: Was this identified as an impediment to resolution? Yes No Please Explain: 2.6 - LAND MANAGEMENT REGIMES Depending on where the claim is situated, there are federal and provincial land management regimes in place that must be respected in the treaty or selfgovernment agreement Has Canada's position on the application of existing land management regimes been presented to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process? Yes No If yes, what is the Aboriginal group(s)'s position? Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support

Strongly Support

Unknown

Please Explain:

- If Canada's position on the application of existing land management regimes was not discussed previously, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement process?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

Please Explain:

- Was this identified as an impediment to resolution?

Yes No

Please explain:

3. GOVERNANCE

The agreement must contain the following elements:

- Provisions that *frame the content of the Aboriginal group's* internal constitution, including provisions that Aboriginal constitutions will provide for transparent and democratically accountable Aboriginal governments and that constitutions will be ratified by the Aboriginal group prior to federal ratification of the final agreement
- Provisions ensuring application of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms
- Provisions providing for the continued application of federal and provincial/territorial laws to Aboriginal groups, and rules of priority consistent with Canada's self-government policy framework, including priority for national interest powers
- Provisions setting out detailed descriptions of the Aboriginal government's law-making powers

Canada will not negotiate Aboriginal law making over national interest powers and powers over national sovereignty, defense or external relations; Aboriginal law making in some subject areas will be subject to federal or provincial/territorial laws in the event of a conflict. Agreement on law-making over provincial/territorial jurisdictions will require the provincial government to be party to the agreement; provincial or territorial

governments will also be required to be a party if the agreement is going to be constitutionally protected.

3.1 - ACCOUNTABLE ABORIGINAL GOVERNMENTS

Aboriginal governments and institutions should be fully accountable to their members or clients for all decisions made and actions taken in the exercise of their jurisdiction or authority. Mechanisms to ensure political and financial accountability should be comparable to those in place for other governments and institutions of similar size, although they need not be identified in all respects.

Mechanisms to ensure political accountability must be developed and ratified by the Aboriginal group concerned, and set out in an internal constitution so that they are transparent to all members, and to others who deal with the Aboriginal governments or institutions.

Aboriginal governments exercising law-making authority must establish:

- clear and open processes of law-making;
- transparent processes for proclaiming a law in effect;
- · procedures for the notification and publication of laws; and
- procedures for the appeal of laws or other decisions.

Aboriginal institutions exercising authorities must:

- ensure that the decision making processes central to the core functions of those institutions are open and transparent;
- ensure that information on administrative policies and standards is readily obtainable by clients; and
- establish procedures, where appropriate, for administrative review, including appeal mechanisms.
- Has Canada's position on accountable Aboriginal governments been presented to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process?

Yε	es .	No

- If yes, what is the Aboriginal group(s)'s position?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

~	If Canada's position on accountable Aboriginal government was not discussed previously, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement process?
	Reject
	Dislike
	Somewhat Support

Support Strongly Support

Unknown

Please Explain:

- Was this identified as an impediment to resolution?

Yes No.

Please Explain:

3.2 - APPLICATION OF THE CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS

The Government is committed to the principle that the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* should bind all governments in Canada, so that Aboriginal peoples and non-Aboriginal Canadians alike may enjoy equally the rights and freedoms guaranteed by the *Charter*. Treaties with a self-government component and self-government agreements will therefore have to provide that the *Canadian Charter of rights and Freedoms* applies to Aboriginal governments and institutions in relation to all matters within their respective jurisdictions and authorities.

 Has Canada's position on the application of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms been presented to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process?

Yes No.

- If yes, what is the Aboriginal group(s)'s position?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

- If Canada's position on the application of the *Charter of Rights and Freedoms* was not discussed previously, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement process?

Reject
Dislike
Somewhat Support
Support
Strongly Support
Unknown

Please Explain:

Was this identified as an impediment to resolution?

Yes

No

Please Explain:

3.3 - NATIONAL INTEREST POWERS

There are a number of subject matters where there are no compelling reasons for Aboriginal governments or institutions to exercise law-making authority. These subject matters cannot be characterized as either integral to Aboriginal cultures, or internal to Aboriginal groups. They can be grouped under two heading: (i) powers related to Canadian sovereignty, defence and external relations; and (ii) other national interest powers. In these areas, it is essential that the federal government retain its law-making authority. Subject matters in this category would include:

- Powers related to Canadian sovereignty, defence and external relations
- Management and regulation of the national economy
- Maintenance of national law and order and substantive criminal law
- Protection of the health and safety of all Canadians
- Federal undertakings and other powers
- Has Canada's position on National Interest Powers been presented to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process?

Yes

No

- If yes, what is the Aboriginal group(s)'s position?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

Please Explain:

 If Canada's position on National Interest Powers was not discussed previously, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement process?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

Please Explain:

Was this identified as an impediment to resolution?

Yes

No

Please Explain:

3.4 - THE INHERENT RIGHT POLICY

Aboriginal governments and institutions exercising the inherent right of self-government will operate within the framework of the Canadian Constitution. Aboriginal jurisdictions and authorities should, therefore, work in harmony with jurisdictions that are exercised by other governments. It is in the interest of both Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal governments to develop co-operative arrangements that will ensure the harmonious relationship of laws, which is indispensable to the proper functioning of the federation.

In light of the wide array of Aboriginal jurisdictions or authorities that may be the subject of negotiations, provincial governments are necessary parties to negotiations and agreements where subject matters being negotiated normally fall within provincial jurisdiction or may have impacts beyond the Aboriginal group or Aboriginal lands in questions. Territorial governments should be party to any negotiations and related agreements on implementing self-government north of the $60^{\rm th}$ parallel.

The inherent right of self-government does not include a right of sovereignty in the international law sense, and will not result in sovereign independent Aboriginal nation states. On the contrary, implementation of self-government should enhance the participation of Aboriginal peoples in the Canadian federation, and ensure that Aboriginal peoples and their governments do not exist in isolation, separate and apart from the rest of Canadian society.

Has Canada's position on the place of self-government in the <i>Constitution Act,</i> 1982 and existing jurisdictional frameworks, as laid out in the <i>Inherent Right</i>
<i>Policy</i> , been presented to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process?

Yes

No

- If yes, what is the Aboriginal group(s)'s position?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

Please Explain:

- If Canada's position on the *Constitution Act, 1982*, was not discussed previously, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement process?

Reject
Dislike
Somewhat Support
Support
Strongly Support
Unknown

Please Explain:

Was this identified as an impediment to resolution?

Yes

No

3.5 - TAXATION

All negotiations related to taxation in treaty and self-government agreements are to be led by the Department of Finance, in collaboration with AANDC.

Federal negotiators are to contact the Department of Finance for assessing this portion of the template.

•	Has Canada discussed taxation with the negotiation parties prior to the
	engagement process?

Yes

No

- If yes, what is the Aboriginal group(s)'s position?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

Please Explain:

- If no, federal negotiators will need to advise the parties that the discussion on taxation will need to take place as soon as possible. Federal negotiators are to discuss with the Department of Finance when this would be feasible (note: this may only take place post-engagement).

3.6 - FUNDING FRAMEWORK

Self-government funding is a shared responsibility and will be determined using a formula-based approach, including own source revenue components.

 Canada is not responsible for all programs and services on reserves nor does it fund all program and services on reserves. Has this message been delivered to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process?

Yes

No

- If yes, what is the Aboriginal group(s)'s position?

Reject

Dislike

Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

Please Explain:

- If no, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s)'s during the engagement process?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

Please Explain:

- Was this identified as an impediment to resolution?

Yes

No

Please Explain:

Canada's funding to bands is to support the provision of specific programs and services according to federal terms and conditions, but it does not necessarily fund 100% of those program and service costs. Has this message been delivered to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process?

Yes

No

- If yes, what is the Aboriginal group(s)'s position?

Reject
Dislike
Somewhat Support
Support
Strongly Support
Unknown

Please Explain:

If no, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s)'s during the engagement process? Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

Please Explain:

Was this identified as an impediment to resolution?

Yes

No

Please Explain:

Canada's funding of self-government arrangements includes a funding base that is established by the existing *Indian Act* program funding levels (i.e. it does not provide for enhanced program and service funding) as well as some incremental funding related to new governance-related responsibilities, with annual adjustors to address price and population sensitive programming, taking into consideration a proportion of the band(s)' own source revenue capacity in calculating the final transfers. Has this message been delivered to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process?

Yes

No

- If yes, what is the Aboriginal group(s)'s position?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

Please Explain:

If no, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s)'s during the engagement process?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

	Please E	eplain:					
	· Was this	identified as a	ın impedii	ment to r	esolution?		
	Yes	N	υ				
	Please Ex	plain:					
cons cont prog	aderation of ribution tha	ng the level of own source re t a band may a his message be cess?	evenue ca ilready be	pacity wi making (ll be above towards th	the curree costs of	ent level of Their
Yes		No					
-	If yes, wh	at is the Abori	ginal grou	ıp(s)'s po	sition?		
	Reject Dislike Somewha Support Strongly S Unknown						
	Please Exp	olain:					
-	If no, how engageme	was it received nt process?	d by the A	boriginal	group(s)'.	s during t	he
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Strongly St Unknown						
	Please Explain:						
·-	Was this identified as an impediment to resolution?						
	Yes	No					
	Please Expl	ain:					

While Canada will always provide some minimum level of funding to support Aboriginal self-government, it is also Canada's intention that in determining its

incre fede	sfers, the consideration of the bands own source revenue will be phased in ar ementally increased over time, leading to a gradual reduction of reliance on ral funding and greater self-sufficiency. Has this message been delivered to t tiation parties prior to the engagement process?
Yes	No
-	If yes, what is the Aboriginal group(s)'s position?
	Reject
	Dislike
	Somewhat Support
	Support
	Strongly Support
	Unknown
	Please Explain:
-	If no, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s)'s during the engagement process?
	Reject
	Dislike
	Somewhat Support
	Support
	Strongly Support
	Unknown
	Please Explain:
-	Was this identified as an impediment to resolution?
	Yes No
	Please Explain:
gover	a has primary, but not exclusive, responsibility for supporting Aboriginal nments and programs and services to Indians resident on reserves. Has this ge been delivered to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process
Yes	No
-	If yes, what is the province/territory's position?

18

	Reject	
	Dislike Somewhat Support	
	Support	
	Strongly Support	
	Unknown	
	Please Explain:	
AN	If no, how was it receiprocess?	ved by the province/territory during the engagement
	Reject	
	Dislíke	
	Somewhat Support	
	Support	
	Strongly Support Unknown	
	OTRHOWH	
	Please Explain:	
-	Was this identified as a	ın impediment to resolution?
	Yes N	О
	Please Explain:	
gover	mance and programs and	responsibility to financially support Aboriginal self- I services to non-Indians resident on reserve. Has this e negotiation parties prior to the engagement process?
Yes	No	
-	If yes, what is the provi	nce/territory's position?
	Reject	
	Dislike	
	Somewhat Support	
	Support	
	Strongly Support	
	Unknown	
	Please Explain:	

-	If no, how was it received by the province/territory during the engagement process?		
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown		
	Please Explain:		
•	Was this identified as	s an impediment to resolution?	
	Yes	No	
	Please Explain:		
progr and a	ams and services to Ino pplicable to all provinc	the primary, but not exclusive, responsibility to support dians resident on reserve that are of a general nature tial residents (i.e. hospitalization). Has this message ation parties prior to the engagement process?	
Yes	No		
-	If yes, what is the pro	vince/territory's position?	
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown		
	Please Explain:		
~	If no, how was it recei process?	ved by the province/territory during the engagement	
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown		

	Please Explair	
-	Was this iden	fied as an impediment to resolution?
	Yes	No
	Please Explair	
reve any p	nue streams of a provincial jurisdi	ts possess and may exercise jurisdiction over certain taxation ocal nature (i.e. real property) on reserves concurrent with tions. Has this message been delivered to the negotiation gagement process?
Yes		O
	If yes, what is	ne province/territory's position?
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Sup Support Strongly Suppo Unknown	
	Please Explain:	
****	If no, how was process?	received by the province/territory during the engagement
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Supp Support Strongly Suppo Unknown	
	Please Explain:	
-	Was this identif	ed as an impediment to resolution?
	Yes	No
	Please Explain:	

4. OTHER

4.1 - ABORIGINAL MANDATE AND REPRESENTATION

Canada requires that individuals negotiating on behalf of Aboriginal groups be duly mandated and that this requirement be satisfied by evidence of the Aboriginal community's knowledge and support throughout the negotiations process.

æ	 Does the Aboriginal Negotiator have a clear mandate from the Aboriginal group he/she is representing? 	
	Yes	No
	Please Explain:	
-	Has the mandate fronegotiations?	om the Aboriginal group been continuous throughout the
	Yes	No
	Please Explain:	
4.2 - F	RATIFICATION	
accept agreer that al availab	able and that the mer nent. Ratification pro I members have an op	idequate evidence that the negotiated agreement is others of the Aboriginal group have given consent to the occases can be negotiated, but Canada must be satisfied oportunity to participate, that all relevant information is and that ratification procedures are transparent, fair, as binding.
	III man / In man and Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Add A	

 Has/have the Aboriginal group(s) undertaken activities to ensure community engagement and awareness of the treaty and/or self-government negotiation process and content of the agreement?

Yes No

Please Explain:

-! Are you aware of any issues that have arisen from community engagement on the treaty and/or self government negotiation process, or other matters within the community (e.g. governance or capacity issues, competing or inconstant initiatives or decisions, etc. which may impede successful conclusion or ratification of the agreement?

	Yes	No	
	Please Explain:		
<u>.</u>	! Have required f the Aboriginal g	Have required federal approval levels for the agreement been presented to the Aboriginal group(s) prior to the engagement process?	
	Yes	No	
.e. [If yes, how did t	he Aboriginal group(s) respond to Canada's position?	
	Reject		
	Dislike		
	Somewhat Supp	ort	
	Support		
	Strongly Suppor	rt	
	Unknown	·	
	Please Explain:		
-!	If no, how was it process?	received by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement	
	Reject		
	Dislike		
	Somewhat Suppo	ort	
	Support		
	Strongly Support	t	
	Unknown		
	Please Explain:		
-!	Was this identifie	ed as an impediment to resolution?	
	Yes	No	
	Please Explain:		
transp	ederal process rec arent, fair, democ ament process?	quirements to achieve ratification procedures that are ratic and recognized as binding been discussed prior to the	
-!	If yes, how did the	e Aboriginal group(s) respond to Canada's position?	

Reject

	Dislike Somewhat Suppor Support Strongly Support Unknown	t
	Please Explain:	
-!	If no, how was it re process?	eceived by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown	
	Please Explain:	
-!	Was this identified	as an impediment to resolution?
	Yes	No
	Please Explain:	
4.3 \(\) go	TATUS OF AGREEM vernment agreeme	ENTS (applicable to non-treaty stand alone self- nts)
agreer rights agreer	nent and that nothin under s. 35 of the <i>Co</i> nents must also clari	stablish the non-treaty status of the self-government g in the agreement recognizes or denies any Aboriginal <i>institution Act, 1982</i> . Where appropriate/necessary, fy the relationship between the self-government aties to which the Aboriginal group is a signatory.
-!	Has Canada's position negotiation parties p	on on the status of agreements been presented to the prior to the engagement process?
	Yes	No
-!	If yes, what is the Ab	original group's position?
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support	

Strongly Support Unknown Please Explain: -! If Canada's position on the status of agreements had not been discussed previously, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement process? Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown Please Explain: -! Was this identified as an impediment to resolution? Yes No Please Explain: 4.4!- LEGAL STATUS AND CAPACITY OF THE ABORIGINAL SELF-GOVERNING **ENTITY** The Aboriginal legal entity and its capacities, powers and privileges as a natural person under the law must be addressed in the agreement. -! Has Canada's position on the legal status and capacity of the Aboriginal selfgoverning entity been presented to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process? Yes No -! If yes, what is the Aboriginal group's position? Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support

Unknown

	Please Explain:	
- !	governing entity had	on the legal status and capacity of the Aboriginal selfd not been discussed previously, how was it received by p(s) during the engagement process?
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown	
	Please Explain:	
-!	Was this identified a	s an impediment to resolution?
	Yes	No
	Please Explain:	
4.5!- J	JURISDICTION OR AU	THORITY OVER NON-MEMBERS
Abori exerci exerci the in	ginal lands, clearly ind ised over non-member ised over non-member put of non-members in	he rights and interests of non-members residing on licating if Aboriginal jurisdiction or authority will be rs. Where Aboriginal jurisdiction or authority will be rs, agreements must set out mechanisms to provide for a decisions that may affect their rights and interests and abers with rights of redress.
-!		n on jurisdiction or authority over non-members been otiation parties prior to the engagement process?
	Yes	No
-!	If yes, what is the Abo	original group's position?
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown	

-!	-! If Canada's position on jurisdiction or authority over non-members had not been discussed previously, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement process?		
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown		
	Please Explain:		
-!	Was this identified	as an impediment to resolution?	
	Yes	No	
	Please Explain:		
4.6!- A	ACCESS TO PROGRA	MS	
individe from to the fed respon	duals covered by self he federal programs leral government, to	for the continued eligibility of Aboriginal groups and -government agreements to participate in and benefit for Aboriginal people established from time-to-time by the extent the Aboriginal group has not assumed ram and to the extent that groups and individuals rograms.	
· [on on access to programs been presented to the orior to the engagement process?	
	Yes	No	
-!	If yes, what is the Ab	poriginal group's position?	
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown		
	Please Explain:		

-!	If Canada's position on access to programs had not been discussed previously, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement process?		
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown		
	Please Explain:		
-!	Was this identified a	ns an impediment to resolution?	
	Yes	No	
	Please Explain:		
4.7LL	ABILITY		
		he liability, immunity and indemnification of the its employees or subordinate bodies.	
-!	Has Canada's position on liability been presented to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process?		
	Yes	No	
-!	If yes, what is the Ab	original group's position?	
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown		
	Please Explain:		
-!		on liability had not been discussed previously, how was original group(s) during the engagement process?	
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support		

	Support	
	Strongly Support	
	Unknown	
	Please Explain:	
-! Was this identified as an impediment to resoluti		as an impediment to resolution?
	Yes	No
	Please Explain:	

4.8!- TRANSITION

Agreements must provide for clarity regarding the transition from existing legal arrangements (e.g. operations under the *Indian Act*), to the new legal framework to ensure the transition does not create legal uncertainty.

-! Has Canada's position on transition been presented to the negotiation parties prior to the engagement process?

Yes

No

-! If yes, what is the Aboriginal group's position?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

Please Explain:

-! If Canada's position on transition had not been discussed previously, how was it received by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement process?

Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown

-!	Was this identified :	as an impediment to resolution?
		·
	Yes	No
	Please Explain:	
4.9!-	DISPUTE RESOLUTIO	ON
Although the fe Resolution of the fermiode include	ugh Canada's approad deral perspective, as <i>ition Mechanisms in N</i> rn treaty context. Gei le provisions for a pro	e provisions for a dispute resolution framework. It to dispute resolution in treaties is flexible to an extent, laid out in <i>The Guide for the Management of Dispute Modern Treaties</i> , is that a staged approach is preferred in a nerally, a staged approach to dispute resolution would ogression from informal discussions; to assisted or cluding mediation); to arbitration.
-!		on on dispute resolution been presented to the prior to the engagement process?
	Yes	No
-!	If yes, what is the Ab	poriginal group's position?
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown	
	Please Explain:	
-!	If Canada's position of how was it received process?	on dispute resolution had not been discussed previously, by the Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown	
	Please Explain:	

-!	Was this identified as an impediment to resolution?		
	Yes	No	
	Please Explain:		
4.10!	- IMPLEMENTATIO	N PLANS	
and m Impler resour plan is	ust be presented for a mentation plans must ces associated with t	plan must be developed by the parties to the agreement approval along with the Final Agreement. identify the activities, timeframes and agreed-upon he fulfillment of activities. Although the implementation protected, it is an important element of the treaty	
-!	-	on on implementation plans been presented to the prior to the engagement process?	
	Yes	No	
-!	If yes, what is the Ab	original group's position?	
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown Please Explain:		
-!	If Canada's position o	on implementation plans had not been discussed it received by the Aboriginal group(s) during the?	
	Reject Dislike Somewhat Support Support Strongly Support Unknown		
	Please Explain:		
-!	Was this identified as	s an impediment to resolution?	

	Yes	No
	Please Explain:	
4.11!	- FISHERIES	
fisher Canac Many	ties negotiations have da does not have a m	ables have proved to be challenging. In some regions, be been deferred for several years (BC) and in others, andate to negotiate fisheries issues (QUE, NB, NS, PEI). The seeking to have their fisheries interests and rights ents.
-!	treaty for food, soci	group expressed a positing seeking fisheries access in the al and ceremonial and commercial purposes? Do they ons regarding fisheries management/governance?
	Yes	No
	Please Explain:	
-!	Would a compreher addressed in the tre	nsive agreement be achievable without fish issues being eaty?
	Yes	No
	Please Explain:	
4.12!	- OTHER FEDERAL	CORE ELEMENTS
Some their r	tables may have othe negotiations processe	r specific federal core elements that are significant to s but that are not covered by this template.
-!	Are there any other resolution of an agre province/territory?	federal core elements that are a particular impediment to eement with the Aboriginal group and/or the
	Yes	No
	Please Explain:	
-!	If yes, was this issue engagement process	discussed with the negotiation parties prior to the?

Yes

No

***	-! If yes, what is the Aboriginal group(s) and/or progovernment's position?	ovincial/territorial
	Reject	
	Dislike	
	Somewhat Support	
	Support	
	Strongly Support	
	Unknown	
	Please Explain:	
-!	-! If the issue had not been discussed previously, ho Aboriginal group(s) during the engagement proce	w was it received by the ss?
	Reject	
	Dislike	
	Somewhat Support	
	Support	
	Strongly Support	
	Unknown	
	Please Explain:	
-!	! Was this identified as an impediment to resolution	?
	Yes No	
	Please Explain:	

OVERALL ANALYSIS

Taking into consideration the above analysis, please provide an overall analysis of the file. In this section, you may wish to identify any strategic considerations related to the negotiations.